## **EXHIBIT K**

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 3
                      EASTERN DIVISION
 4
 5
     IN RE NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION | Case No. 17-MD-2804
 6
     OPIATE LITIGATION
                                   Hon. Dan A. Polster
    APPLIES TO ALL CASES
 8
 9
10
                 Friday, November 16, 2018
11
12
           HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
13
                   CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
14
15
16
17
           Videotaped deposition of CHAD DUCOTE, held
18
      at the offices of Mitchell Williams,
      4206 South J.B. Hunt Drive, Suite 200, Rogers,
19
      Arkansas, commencing at 8:04 a.m., on the above
      date, before Susan D. Wasilewski, Registered
20
      Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime
      Reporter and Certified Realtime Captioner.
21
22
23
24
                 GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
            877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
25
                      deps@golkow.com
```

```
1
 2.
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record.
         My name is Dan Lawlor. I'm a videographer of
 3
         Golkow Litigation Services.
 4
 5
              Today's date is November 16, 2018, and the
         time is 8:04 a.m.
 6
              This video deposition is being held in
 7
 8
         Rogers, Arkansas, in the matter of National
         Prescription Opiate Litigation, MDL Number 2804.
 9
10
         The deponent is Chad Ducote.
              Counsel will be noted on the stenographic
11
12
         record.
13
              The court reporter is Susan Wasilewski and
14
         will now swear in the witness.
              THE COURT REPORTER: Sir, would you raise
15
16
         your right hand.
17
              Do you solemnly swear or affirm the
18
         testimony you're about to give will be the truth,
         the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
19
20
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
21
              THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
22
              CHAD DUCOTE, called as a witness by the
23
      Plaintiffs, having been duly sworn, testified as
24
      follows:
25
```

- 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. INNES:
- 3 Q. Good morning, Mr. Ducote. My name is
- 4 Michael Innes. I represent the plaintiffs in this
- 5 case. Thank you for being here today. Thank you
- for starting a little bit earlier than usual. I do
- 7 appreciate that.
- 8 Could you state your full name for the
- 9 record.
- 10 A. Full name is Chad Edward Ducote.
- 11 Q. What is your current occupation?
- 12 A. Current occupation is Division Vice
- 13 President for Supply Chain.
- Q. And for what company?
- 15 A. Walmart.
- 16 Q. Thank you.
- You understand that you're under oath,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And are you taking any medication or is
- there any other reason that would interfere with
- 22 your ability to answer my questions fully and
- 23 truthfully today?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Some basic ground rules -- well, let me

- 1 A. That, I do not recall exactly.
- Q. Do you recall sitting in a classroom for
- 3 that class?
- 4 A. I don't recall. I do -- if I -- it most
- 5 likely was online for that course. I just don't
- 6 recall exactly.
- 7 Q. What grade did you get in that class?
- 8 A. An A. I do recall that.
- 9 Q. That's good. You don't recall going to
- 10 class, but you got an A. I wish I could have done
- 11 that.
- 12 A. I just recall. I think I've made As in all
- 13 the courses.
- MS. FUMERTON: Easy answer, then.
- 15 BY MR. INNES:
- Q. Let's continue right up the page here.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. You were a pharmacy intern, cashier, cart
- 19 pusher, sales associate, unloader from February '92
- to December '97.
- 21 Was that at Walmart?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. You then went to be a pharmacist or pharmacy
- manager from '97 -- December '97 to June 1999, also
- 25 at Walmart?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. You then rose to the level of manager of
- 3 pharmacy recruiting June 1999 to August 2002, also
- 4 at Walmart?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You then moved to divisional compliance
- 7 director, also at Walmart, in August 2004?
- 8 A. You skipped one role, but yes.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry. I did.
- 10 You were the director of training and
- development, also at Walmart, from August 2002 to
- 12 August 2004?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you for correcting me on that.
- Then you became a divisional compliance
- director from August 2004, for about 18 years, to
- July 2010; is that right?
- MS. FUMERTON: No. Objection; form and to
- 19 the math, I guess.
- 20 BY MR. INNES:
- Q. I'm just reading the document.
- 22 MS. FUMERTON: I think that this can be
- easily clarified.
- 24 A. Yes, that's -- I was going to clarify. It
- was six years. The LinkedIn profile did some odd

- 1 math on that.
- 2 Q. To be clear, divisional compliance officer
- 3 at Walmart from July 2004 to July 2010?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And what were your duties as a divisional
- 6 compliance director?
- 7 A. In that role, I was responsible for
- 8 Louisiana, Mississippi, and Tennessee, that
- 9 territory, and it was operational compliance, which
- is really more some auditing functions.
- 11 Q. What do you mean by auditing functions?
- 12 A. We would look at things such as food safety
- to make sure that our stores -- we -- our stores
- 14 have a great deal of -- great deal of different
- 15 types of products that we prepare, so we would go in
- 16 to make sure they were properly preparing food
- 17 products, things of that nature.
- 18 Q. So in that role did you deal with
- 19 pharmaceuticals?
- 20 A. There was a time where we did.
- Q. And within that time did you deal with
- 22 Schedule II narcotics?
- MS. FUMERTON: Objection; form.
- A. Not specifically with Schedule II narcotics.
- Q. Did you do -- did you deal with Schedule III

- 1 A. No, I wouldn't say -- maybe I misstated
- that. I wouldn't say my primary focus was food
- 3 safety. That's the topic that seemed to come up a
- 4 great deal.
- 5 Q. Schedule II narcotics did not come up as a
- 6 topic?
- 7 MS. FUMERTON: Objection; form.
- 8 A. Yes, it did.
- 9 O. In what context?
- 10 A. Recordkeeping.
- 11 Q. Did Schedule III come up?
- 12 A. Yes, recordkeeping again.
- 13 Q. Following your divisional compliance
- 14 director position, you moved to the Walmart --
- 15 general manager at a Walmart Distribution Center in
- 16 Opelousas?
- 17 A. Opelousas.
- 18 Q. Opelousas, Louisiana?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And you served in that role from July 2010
- 21 to July 2014?
- 22 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And what were your duties as the general
- 24 manager of that distribution center?
- 25 A. The primary duties was supervision of

- 1 MS. FUMERTON: Objection; form.
- 2 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge of any enforcement
- 4 actions regarding firearms at that distribution
- 5 facility?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 MS. FUMERTON: Just give me one second to
- 8 object. Just a reminder.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 10 BY MR. INNES:
- 11 Q. Following your general manager position, you
- moved to Senior Director II, Pharmacy Supply Chain;
- is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And you held that position from August 2014
- 16 to June 2017; is that correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And that was in -- it's in Bentonville,
- 19 Arkansas?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. So you relocated from Opelousas, Louisiana,
- 22 to Bentonville?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. I'm going to pass over that one right now.
- We're going to spend a lot of time on that

- 1 Q. It did. I'll ask you to turn to the third
- 2 page of this document. You've had a chance to
- 3 review that; is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. I don't know if we have a bad copy on that
- as well, but that is document ending in 22384.
- 7 Are you familiar with this document?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 O. You've seen it before?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Can you explain to me what this represents?
- 12 A. What this represents is VAWD, verified
- 13 accredited wholesale distributor, was doing -- there
- 14 were certain policies and procedures that they
- 15 recommended that were put in place based on their
- 16 review, and this was an output of that process.
- 17 Q. You referred to the VAWD. Could you --
- 18 A. Verified accredited wholesale distributor.
- 19 Q. And it was important -- well, and the VAWD,
- 20 did they confer accreditations on distributors?
- 21 A. I'm not sure from the legal sense exactly
- 22 how that plays out, but they do give accreditation.
- Q. Did Walmart apply for accreditation?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Was it important that Walmart got that